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Project Background

The AGEP Engineering Alliance brings together Georgia Institute of Technology, Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, William Marsh Rice University, and the University of Colorado Colorado Springs to develop, implement, study, evaluate, and disseminate a model focused on the career development of historically underrepresented minority (URM) engineering postdoctoral scholars who eventually successfully transition into tenure-track faculty positions. Funding for this Alliance was procured from The National Science Foundation (NSF) Alliances for Graduate Education and the Professoriate (AGEP) program (award numbers: 1821298, 1821019, 1821052, and 1821008). Presently, approximately 10% of postdoctoral scholars (Yadav et al., 2020) and 6% of engineering professors (Roy, 2019) identify as racial/ethnic minorities, and this disproportionality will continue until URMs are more effectively engaged and embraced in the discipline (NSF, 2018).

Personal and Professional Development Sessions

To address increasing the effective engagement and embracement of URM postdoctoral scholars, the AGEP Engineering Alliance project team employs an asset-based approach to meeting the career development needs of the project participants by offering both prescribed and customized personal and professional development sessions. This poster details survey evidence of the effectiveness attributed to the sessions presented between 2019-2020 from the point of view of the 11 postdoctoral scholars participating in the project. This study is guided by the following research questions:

1. How do the engineering postdoctoral scholars rate the relevance and benefit of the personal and professional development sessions?
2. What do the postdoctoral scholars identify as most valuable about the personal and professional development sessions?
3. In what areas of the personal and professional development sessions do the postdoctoral scholars feel more informed?
4. What recommendations do the postdoctoral scholars offer in terms of additional session topics and session improvement?

Postdoctoral Scholar Participants

Eleven engineering postdoctoral scholars are participating in the AGEP Engineering Alliance. Six participants are from Georgia Tech—a public doctoral university with very high research activity (R1) and considered a predominately White institution (PWI); one is from Florida A&M—a public doctoral university with high research activity (R2) and is a Historically Black College or University; and four are from Rice University—a private R1 and also a PWI. The sample is comprised of five females and six males, each self-identified as either African American or Latinx, and they represent a variety of engineering disciplines. Participant demographics are displayed in Table 1.
Table 1. Postdoctoral Scholar Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Field of Engineering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Biochemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Chemical &amp; Biomolecular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Chemical &amp; Biomolecular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Mechanical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Aerospace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Chemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Statistical Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Latinx</td>
<td>Chemical &amp; Biomolecular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Latinx</td>
<td>Computational &amp; Applied Mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Latinx</td>
<td>Materials Science &amp; Nanotechnology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Session Topics

One of the key career development components of the project are the personal and professional development sessions offered to the postdoctoral scholar participants. Session topics were both pre-determined and individualized and tailored to the scholars’ stated needs and interests. 2019-2020 topics included:

- Leveraging the Postdoctoral Experience for a Successful Career in Academia
- Insights Regarding Teaching at Different University Types
- Let’s Talk about Teaching in Higher Education
- Career Check-In
- How to Write Stellar Research Articles
- Entrepreneurship
- 1:1 Mentorship: Grow your Network
- Parenting as a Professor
- COVID-19 Check-In

Survey Results

In order to determine the effectiveness of the personal and professional development sessions the project team developed a survey with both closed-ended and open-ended items. Results indicate participants found the sessions to be relevant to their academic career intentions, as nearly 100% of the sessions were rated as “relevant” or “very relevant” (see Table 2). The Career Check-In, How to Write Stellar Research Articles, and the Parenting as a Professor sessions were identified by all participants as “very relevant.”

Table 2. Session Relevance to Pursuing an Academic Career

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session Title</th>
<th>Not Very Relevant</th>
<th>A Little Bit Relevant</th>
<th>Relevant</th>
<th>Very Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leveraging the Postdoctoral Experience for a Successful Career in Academia</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Survey results also revealed participants found the sessions to provide information beneficial to their academic career planning process, as nearly 100% of the sessions were rated as “beneficial” or “very beneficial” (see Table 3). The How to Write Stellar Research Articles session was identified by all participants as “very beneficial.”

**Table 3. Session Information was Beneficial for Academic Career Planning**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session Title</th>
<th>Not Very Beneficial</th>
<th>A Little Bit Beneficial</th>
<th>Beneficial</th>
<th>Very Beneficial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leveraging the Postdoctoral Experience for a Successful Career in Academia</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insights Regarding Teaching at Different University Types</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Let’s Talk about Teaching in Higher Education</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Check-In</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to Write Stellar Research Articles</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrepreneurship</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1 Mentorship: Grow Your Network</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting as a Professor</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 Check-In</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--- = 0%

Additionally, survey results indicated the postdoctoral scholars found conversations amongst themselves and with the AGEP project team members to be valuable as they were able to use the session time to connect, network, and quell individual anxieties as they embarked on tenure-track faculty job searches. Participants also reported feeling more informed on teaching responsibilities, academic entrepreneurship prospects, start-up packages, the importance of
networking, and pursuing employment at various institutional types because of the sessions. They also recommended additional sessions involving conversations with URM faculty in which they share their tenure-track hiring and faculty experiences; ways to create and optimize mentoring relationships; grant-funding advice; personal branding; effectively managing a lab; and additional guidance on hiring, such as developing well-crafted application packages, preparing for interviews, and negotiating start-up packages. Suggestions on improving the sessions included facilitating more structured rather than organic discussions and meeting more frequently to leverage the project opportunities offered.

Conclusion

Engineering postdoctoral scholars seeking to enter the professoriate are in need of both prescribed and customized career development support to be confident and competitive in the tenure-track faculty hiring process (Chakraverty, 2020; Griffin, 2019; Hokanson & Goldberg, 2018; Pyhältö, 2018; Scaffidi & Berman, 2011; Van Benthem et al., 2020; Yadav et al., 2020). If colleges and universities are truly interested in diversifying engineering and supporting the career advancement of URM postdoctoral scholars into the professoriate institutional support is warranted. In unison the postdoctoral participants indicated they had received little to no guidance around their career development needs beyond what the AGEP Engineering Alliance offered which suggests systematic investment in this area is essential. Without this investment the academy’s stated commitment to broadening participation in engineering rings hollow.
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